PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	REC'D Z U JUL ZUNU				
To: ZER YORAM APPELFELD ZER LAW OFFICE 29 LILINBLUM TEL-AVIV, 65133	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
ISRAEL					
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
	Date of mailing 17 JUL 2006 (day/month/year)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION				
G-0033-0000	See paragraph 2 below				
	onal filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
	2005 (16.06.2005) 17 June 2004 (17.06.2004)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both nat	tional classification and IPC				
IPC: A61H 1/02(2006.01) A61H 3/00(2006.01) USPC: 482/69,70;280/657,47.38;601/5,24,26,29	01)				
USPC: 482/69,70;280/657,47.38;601/5,24,26,29 Applicant					
YA'AKOV GABAL					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the	e following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority	<u>-</u>				
Box No. III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to noveity, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inver	Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement usuapplicability; citation	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents ci	ited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the	Certain defects in the international application				
	amination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the rity ("IPBA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) rching Authority will not be so considered.				
IPBA a written reply together, where appromailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the	ered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the opriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/22i).	· •				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/IS					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Date of completion of this opinion Christopher Ellis				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 19 May 2006 (19.05.2006) Telephone No. (571) 272-3600					
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	بر منظم کی برای میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں می				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

From the

International application No.	

PCT/IL05/00639

Box No.	I Basis of this opinion
1. With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the discontinuous international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
ъ.	format of material
	on paper
•	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	onal comments:
	-
	·
<u> </u>	0/IOA (027/Para Nia T) (Amail 2005)

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/IL05/00639

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-1	1		YES	
11010119 (21)		ONE		NO	
				VEC	
Inventive step (IS)		z 7 11		YES NO	
	Claims 1-3	5,7-11			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-1</u>	11		YES	
	Claims NO	ONE		NO	
Citations and explanations:					
ease See Continuation Sheet					
				•	
•					
			-		
•					
•					
			,		
•					

International application No.

PCT/IL05/00639

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
The following	defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:					
Claims 1 and 4 objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: claims 1 and 4 end with a semi-colon; they should end with a period.						
,						
-	•					
	•					
	•					
	•					
	•					
1						

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VII) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/IL05/00639

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1,3, 5, 7-11 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Diez et al. in view of Andolfi.

Diez et al. teaches in Figures 1-9 and respective portions of the specification of an exercise and mobility device comprising:

A horizontal frame (1) assembled with a vertical frame (elements 8,15; Figure 1) wherein the horizontal frame is situated on wheels (3) and the vertical frame is supported and operated via a mechanical mechanism (4) enabling the frame to move between a seated position (user can sit on the device shown in Figure 2) and an upright position (Figure 1);

Diez et al. teaches of using pulleys (98a,b) and cables (80a,b) pulleys positioned on the vertical frame (Figure 7), wherein a cable is stretched between the pulleys and the horizontal frame for controlling the saddle seat position when shifting between a seated position and upright position.

Diez et al. does not a show a control panel or an armrest.

Andolfi teaches of a (145) control panel located on the armrest (the control panel is moveable and can be positioned by armrest 137), enabling the user to control all device functions, including positioning of the upper frame relative to the lower frame.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a control panel, as taught by Andolfi, in the invention taught by Diez et al. to allow a user to control the positioning of the horizontal frame relative to the vertical frame by a hand controller.

In regards to claim 3, Diez et al. teaches of a control unit (21) positioned behind the vertical frame that can be controlled by a second person.

In regards to claim 5, Diez et al. does not show electrically driven wheels. Andolfi teaches in Figure 21 of controlling the wheels of the mobility device by an electric drive. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide an electric drive, as taught by Andolfi, in the invention taught by Diez et al. to allow the device to be electrically powered.

In regards to claim 8, it would provide an adjustment for the height of the seat when the device is in the upright position to allow the device to fit a variety of user heights.

In regard to claim 9, Diez et al. teaches of a shoulder straps (17) and pelvic support (16).

In regards to claims 10-11, Diez et al. is silent for the structure of element (4), specifically if element (4) is an electrically driven piston or a hydraulic cylinder. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use an electrically driven piston or a hydraulic cylinder for the structure of element (4) in the invention taught by Diez et al. and as

International application No. PCT/IL05/00639

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

modified by Andolfi. One would be motivated to use either a hydraulic cylinder or an electrically driven piston based on commercial availability, as both are well-known devices in the mechanical arts.

Claim 2 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Razon.

Diez et al. as modified by Andolfi discloses the claimed invention except for showing a saddle seat to conform to the user's underside.

Razon teaches of a saddle seat (45) for supporting a user's underside. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a seat, as taught by Razon, in the invention taught by Diez et al. and as modified by Andolfi to stably support a user.

Claim 4 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the first paragraph and further in view of Womack.

Diez et al. as modified by Andolfi disclose the claimed invention except for teaching of controlling the device by voice commands.

Womack teaches of a wheelchair and teaches that it is known in the art for providing a controller that uses voice commands. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a voice activated controller, as taught by Womack, in the invention taught by Diez et al. and as modified by Andolfi to allow a paraplegic or a quadriplegic to control the device.